

## Permission to Appeal results October and November 2016

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Airway Coffee Co Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Airports of Mauritius Co Ltd and another (Respondents) (Mauritius) JCPC 2016/0063	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 1 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
Dabee-Bunjun (Appellant) <i>v</i> Independent Commission Against Corruption (Respondent) (Mauritius) JCPC 2016/0034	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 1 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
<b>In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below</b>			
O'Connor (Senior) and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> The Proprietors, Strata Plan No. 51 (Respondent) (Turks and Caicos Islands) JCPC 2016/0027	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Granted 12 October 2016	
Rhodriquez (Appellant) <i>v</i> Rolle (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2016/0014	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 12 October 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time. This application comes far too late.
Harvey (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2016/0026	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 12 October 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
The Slegaby Estate Limited and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Lloyds Bank International Limited (Respondent) (Isle of Man) JCPC 2016/0024	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 12 October 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.

Honourable Guy Joseph (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Constituency Boundaries Commission and others (Respondents) (Saint Lucia) JCPC 2016/0056	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 12 October 2016	The application should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
Bartlett (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commissioner of Police (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2016/0045	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 12 October 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
O'Connor (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Bermuda) JCPC 2016/0046	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 16 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
JSC VTB Bank (Appellant) <i>v</i> Katunin (Respondent) (British Virgin Islands) JCPC 2016/0070	Lord Mance Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 16 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee.
Kirakis Investments Limited and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> Pitts town Point Landings Limited (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2016/0031	Lord Mance Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 16 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time bearing in mind also that there have been gross delays in the appeals process by the Appellants.
Thompson (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Board of Management of the Bethlehem Moravian College and another (Respondents) (Jamaica) JCPC 2016/0049	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 16 Nov 2016	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
Adenaike (Appellant) <i>v</i> Paddy Power Online Limited (Respondent) (Isle of Man) JCPC 2016/0048	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Refused 16 Nov 2016	(1) Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time. The Court below was correct in its decision about the fair minded observer; and  (2) permission to extend time should also be refused as no explanation was proffered.