

## Permission to Appeal results – JCPC November 2015

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Lynch (Appellant) <i>v</i> McCollin (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) Case No: JCPC 2015/0048	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hodge	Refused 3 Nov 2015	
Hernandez (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State ( Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) Case No: JCPC 2015/0046	Lady Hale Lord Hughes Lord Toulson	Granted 4 Nov 2015	
Mission and Pastoral Measure 2011- Benefices of Acton Green; and Christ Church, Turnham Green Case No: JCPC 2015/0082	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Mance	Refused 9 Nov 2015	Leave to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point with a reasonable prospect of success. Although looking at the map on its own may cause one to wonder about the decision, the full and careful analysis of the arguments by the Commissioners satisfies us that there is no reasonable prospect of an appeal succeeding.

**In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below**

Geophysical Service Inc (Appellant) <i>v</i> Her Majesty in Right of Her Government of the Falkland Islands (Respondent) Case No: JCPC 2015/0068	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hodge	Refused 11 Nov 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law; it was a case management decision turning on discretion.
VC Computer Holdings Limited and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Zukiapa Management Limited and another (Respondents) (Cayman Islands) Case No: JCPC 2015/0067	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Refused 11 Nov 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
Marrache (Appellant) <i>v</i> H.M Attorney General (Respondent) (Gibraltar) Case No: JCPC 2015/0029  Marrache (Appellant) <i>v</i> H.M Attorney General for Gibraltar (Respondent) (Gibraltar) Case No: JCPC 2015/0013	Lord Clarke Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 11 Nov 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred because: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. as to the constitution point, there is no right of appeal since there has been no decision on the construction of the constitution</li> <li>2. as to sentence, the application should be refused since               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) there is no point of principle and</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

Marrache (Appellant) v H.M Attorney General for Gibraltar (Respondent) (Gibraltar) JCPC 2015/0029			(2) the sentence is not arguably manifestly excessive
---	--	--	---