

Permission to Appeal results –May 2014 | Judicial Committee of the Privy Council

| Case name | Justices | PTA | Reasons given |
|---|---|------------------------|---|
| Mungal (Appellant) <i>v</i> Gosyne and another (Respondents) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2013/0101 | Lady Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson | Refused 29 May 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. |
| Aldridge (Appellant) <i>v</i> Mordaunt Estates Limited (Respondent) JCPC 2014/0026 | Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hodge | Refused 15 May 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because this appeal is inadmissible. |
| Emerald Cascades Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Mordaunt Etates Limited (Respondent) JCPC 2014/0028 | Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hodge | Refused 15 May 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time. |
| In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below | | | |
| Walters (Appellant) <i>v</i> RBBT Bank of Jamaica Limited and others (Respondents) JCPC 2014/0011 | Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson | Refused 27 May 2014 | Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. |
| The Director of Public Prosecutions (Appellant) <i>v</i> Nelson (Respondent) (Antigua and Barbuda) JCPC 2014/0021 | Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson | Granted 27 May 2014 | |
| The Slegaby Estate Limited and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Lloyds Bank International Limited (Respondent) (Isle of Man) JCPC 2014/0050 | Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson | Refused 27 May 2014 | Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. |
| Pringle (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) JCPC 2014/0018 | Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Sumption | Refused 27 May 2014 | Permission to appeal against conviction should be refused, there being no justification for granting an extension of time having also considered the substance of the points raised and concluded that even if the application had been in time, permission to appeal would not have been given; The application relating to sentence should be treated as the hearing of the appeal, and the appeal in respect of sentence should be allowed to the extent of ordering the sentence imposed to commence on 30th October 1998, rather than any later date. |

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---|
| Brown and another <i>v</i> Jamaica National Building Society (Jamaica) JCPC 2014/0004 | Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hodge | Refused 27 May 2014 | Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Having read all the papers including the further submissions of 26 March, the Panel decided that no arguable point of law arose. |
| Creese (Appellant) <i>v</i> Burke (Respondent) JCPC 2014/0022 | Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hodge | Refused 27 May 2014 | Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. |