

Permission to Appeal results – February and March 2018

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Clarke and another (Appellant) v The State (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) JCPC 2017/0012	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Granted 8 Feb 2018	
Maloo and others (Appellants) v Somar (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) JCPC 2016/0071	Lord Mance Lord Hodge Lord Briggs	Granted in part 19 Feb 2018	
A & V Oil and Gas Limited (Appellant) v Petroleum Company of Trinidad and Tobago (Respondent) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2018/0025	Lord Kerr Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 26 Feb 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
A (Appellant) v R (Respondent) (Guernsey) JCPC 2017/0064	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Granted in part 14 March 2018	Permission granted in part but appeal dismissed. Judgment on the website
Holmes (Appellant) v Le Sueur (Respondent) (Jersey) JCPC 2017/0051	Lord Mance Lord Hodge Lord Briggs	Refused 14 March 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Alder (Appellant) v Lloyds Bank International Limited (Respondent) (Isle of Man) JCPC 2017/0071	Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lord Briggs	Refused 14 March 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
ITG Ltd and another (Respondents) v Rawlinson & Hunter Trustees SA (in its capacity as trustee of the Tchenguiz Settlement and the NS One Trust) and another (Appellants) (Guernsey) JCPC 2017/0070	Lord Mance Lord Hodge Lord Briggs	Refused 14 March 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because even assuming as the Board is prepared to for present purposes (without deciding) that the appropriate starting point is that the Appellants could and, if they had asked, should have had an appeal as of right, the Board is nonetheless satisfied that the Court of Appeal was right to conclude that the Appellants' case could not and would not succeed, and considers in all the circumstances that an appeal to the Board would have no prospect of success.
Saunders (Appellant) v The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2017/0045	Lord Mance Lord Hodge Lord Briggs	Granted in part 14 March 2018	