

Permission to Appeal results – February 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Aziz (Appellant) <i>v</i> Water and Sewerage Authority of Trinidad & Tobago (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) JCPC 2019/0066	Lord Wilson Lady Black Lord Sales	Refused 12 February 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In the light of the unarguability of the proposed appeal and of the extent of the delay, the Board refuses to extend time for the filing of the notice. Even if the time had been extended, and even if the appeal could be brought as of right, the Board would refuse to entertain it as totally without merit and therefore an abuse of the process of the Board.
Dial and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> Water and Sewerage Authority (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) JCPC 2019/0076	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 17 February 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Ritta (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State of Mauritius (Respondent) (Mauritius) JCPC 2019/0033	Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 24 February 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance and there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below:			
Ben Oldman Special Situations Fund LP (Appellant) <i>v</i> Karver Investments Ltd and others (Respondents) (British Virgin Islands) JCPC 2019/0054	Lord Reed Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 12 February 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Bethel (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0018	Lord Reed Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 12 February 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.

<p>Emmerson International Corporation (Appellant) <i>v</i> Starlex Company Ltd and another (Respondents) (British Virgin Islands) JCPC 2019/0051</p>	<p>Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Arden</p>	<p>Refused 12 February 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>Big Blue Un Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> de Bruyne (Respondent) (Turks and Caicos Islands) JCPC 2019/0046</p>	<p>Lord Wilson Lord Carnwath Lord Kitchin</p>	<p>Refused 12 February 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal should be refused. Although the proposed appeal raises an arguable point of law of general public importance, it is not one which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.</p>