

Permission to Appeal results – JCPC April and May 2017

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Junkanoo Estate Ltd and others (Appellants) v UBS Bahamas Ltd (In Voluntary Liquidation) (Respondent) JCPC 2016/0052	Lord Mance Lord Kerr Lord Wilson	Refused 12 April 2017	Permission to appeal should be refused because the Board would not normally entertain an appeal from an interlocutory order of this nature and the application raises no issue of general legal importance.
John Ledgister and Sunnycrest Enterprises Ltd (Appellants) v Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation Inc. (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2017/0004	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Refused 3 May 2017	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. This is also an application for permission to appeal in respect of a refusal of interim relief, but judgment has now been given against Mr Ledgister in the substantive action.
Boru Hatlari ile Petrol Taşıma AŞ and others (also known as Botaş Petroleum Pipeline Corporation) (Appellants) v Tepe İnşaat Sanayii AŞ (Respondent) (Jersey) JCPC 2016/0104	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Granted 3 May 2017	
Meggie (Appellant) v The Queen (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2016/0069	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hughes	Refused 3 May 2017	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.