

Permission to Appeal results –April 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Nicholas and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Trinidad & Tobago) JCPC 2019/0094	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 6 April 2020	Permission be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Burris (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2018/0057	Lord Reed Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 28 April 2020	Permission be refused to appeal against conviction on the additional grounds, on the basis that there was no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice had taken place. Permission be refused to appeal against sentence on the additional ground, on the basis that it did not raise an arguable question of law.
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
SKN Choice Times Ltd and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Huggins (Respondent) (St Christopher and Nevis) JCPC 2019/0085	Lord Reed Lord Carnwath Lady Black	Refused 3 April 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The Panel does not consider that a constitutional issue is raised.
States Employment Board (Appellant) <i>v</i> Alwitry (Respondent) (Jersey) JCPC 2019/0105	Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Hamblen	Refused 3 April 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Rolle (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0080	Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Hamblen	Refused 3 April 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Hewey (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Bermuda) JCPC 219/0055	Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Hamblen	Granted in part 3 April 2020	