

## Permission to Appeal results - April 2014 | Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (JCPC)

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Mission and Pastoral Measure 2011- St Michael & All Angels Church (Woolwich) JCPC 2014/0015	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Kerr	Refused 7 April 2014	<p>The Judicial Committee is reluctant to set aside a decision of the Church Commissioners unless satisfied that they have erred in principle or their decision is manifestly wrong.</p> <p>The Commissioners gave this case very careful consideration. The proposal is to sell St Michael's to a different Pentecostal church and use some of the money to repair St Mary's as the focus of the parish's mission. The Parochial Church Council, the incumbent, Keble College as joint patron, and the Diocese all support the proposal but the applicant thinks that St Michael's which largely pays its way should not be sacrificed for the sake of St Mary's. While it is very understandable that the applicant and another objector should want to preserve their distinctive style of worship in a building which was built for that purpose, it does not appear sustainable in the long run and the proposals seem eminently sensible and considerate.</p> <p>The panel can therefore detect no error of principle in the Commissioners' reasoning, nor is their decision manifestly wrong. There is no basis upon which the Privy Council could interfere.</p>
Jadoo (Appellant) v The Attorney General of Mauritius and Others (Respondents) (Mauritius) JCPC 2014/0019	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Refused 29 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Narayanasawmy (Appellant) v The State (Respondent) (Mauritius) JCPC 2013/0112	Lord Mance Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Refused 9 Apr 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
<p><b>In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below</b></p>			
Trans-Americainvest (St Kitts) Ltd and others (Appellants) v Dodge and another (Respondent) (Saint Christopher and Nevis) JCPC 2013/0111	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Kerr	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.

Kemba Swanston (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (St Christopher and Nevis) JCPC 2013/0068	Lady Hale Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Huggins (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (British Virgin Islands) JCPC 2013/0098	Lord Mance Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Brangman (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Bermuda) JCPC 2013/0094	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Granted in part 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be granted only in relation to 'gang' evidence.
Myers (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen JCPC 2013/0088	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Granted in part 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be granted only in relation to 'gang' evidence.
Cox <i>v</i> The Queen JCPC 2013/0089			
Brown (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) JCPC 2013.0084	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Brown (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (The Bahamas) JCPC 2013/0084	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Evans (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2013/0100	Lord Kerr Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Holmes (Appellant) <i>v</i> The General Registry of the Isle of Man Government (Respondent) JCPC 2013/0103	Lord Kerr Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The application is wholly without merit.
Stewart (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Bermuda) JCPC 2013/0099	Lord Kerr Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 28 April 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.