Permission to Appeal results – May 2020			
Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Geesey (in substitution for Allan) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2019/0053	Lord Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 21 May 2020	Permission is refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Commissioner of Police (Appellant) <i>v</i> Smith (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0107	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lady Arden	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Allen (Appellant) <i>v</i> Allen (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2O19/0047	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Gardiner (Appellant) <i>v</i> Freeport Container Port Ltd (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0058	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Morris (Appellant) <i>v</i> Paradise Enterprises Ltd (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0065	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.