Permission to Appeal results – November and December 2019			
Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Young (Appellant) v Young (Respondent) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2019/0015	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 4 November 2019	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Lakheeram (Respondent) <i>v</i> Health Science Consultants Ltd (Appellant) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2019/0025	Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Arden	Refused 3 December 2019	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
In the following cases, the advice which the	Board proposes to g	rive to Her Majesty is	as indicated below
King (Appellant) <i>v</i> Attorney General of Antigua and Barbuda and another (Respondents) (Antigua & Barbuda) JCPC 2019/0036	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 6 November 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.
White (Appellant) v Discovery Bay Beach Club Ltd (Respondent) (Jamaica) JCPC 2019/0020	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 6 November 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because even if the proposed Appellant has an appeal as of right, the Board strikes it out as being devoid of merit. If, however, she has no appeal as of right and requires the special leave of the Board, it is refused for the same reason.
The Queen <i>v</i> Bacarisa (Appellant) (Gibraltar) JCPC 2019/0070	Lord Reed Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 17 December 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in these cases.
The Queen <i>v</i> Mendez (Appellant) (Gibraltar) JCPC 2019/0073			