Permission to Appeal results – JCPC March 2015			
Case name	Justices	РТА	Reasons given
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Morrison (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Jamaica) Case No: JCPC 2014/0075	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 19 March 2015	Permission to appeal against conviction should be refused. The application relating to sentence should be treated as the hearing of the appeal, and the appeal in respect of sentence should be allowed to the extent of ordering the sentence imposed to commence on 12th October 2005, rather than any other date.
Penn (Appellant) v The Director of Public Prosecutions (Respondent) (British Virgin Islands) Case No: JCPC 2015/0001	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused May 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law and there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred.
Gubay (Appellant) v Willers (Respondent) (Isle of Man) Case No: JCPC 2015/0021	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused May 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Commonwealth Union of Hotel Services & Allied Workers (Appellant) v Huchinson Lucaya Limited t/a Grand Lucaya Bahamas (Respondent) (Bahamas) Case No: JCPC 2014/0105	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Refused May 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Wright (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) (Cayman Islands) Case No: JCPC 2014/0112	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Granted May 2015	
Creser (Appellant) <i>v</i> Creser and another (Respondents) (New Zealand) Case No: JCPC 2014/0096	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused May 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time and the application is greatly out of time.
Changou (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State of Mauritius (Respondent) (Mauritius) Case No: JCPC 2014/0113	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law, or a point of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time and there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred.